# **TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION**

IN THE MATTER OF	§	<b>BEFORE THE</b>
	§	
PAULA BORING STAKES,	§	TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION
	§	
RESPONDENT	§	SC-970313

# ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION

#### I. Recitals

The Texas Ethics Commission (the commission) met on November 14, 1997, to consider sworn complaint SC-970313 filed against Paula Boring Stakes (the respondent). A quorum of the commission was present. The commission voted to refuse jurisdiction of allegations of violations that occurred before March 6, 1995. The commission voted to accept jurisdiction of the remainder of the allegations. Based on the investigation conducted by commission staff, the commission determined there was credible evidence of violations of Section 254.063, Election Code, a law administered and enforced by the commission. To resolve and settle this complaint without further proceedings, the commission proposes this agreed resolution to the respondent.

### II. Allegations

The complainant alleges that the respondent violated Title 15, Election Code, by:

- (1) failing to timely file required campaign finance reports; and
- (2) failing to report political contributions and political expenditures as required.

# III. Facts Supported by Credible Evidence

Credible evidence available to the commission would support the following findings of fact:

- 1. At the times relevant to this complaint, the respondent was a candidate for city council in elections held in 1991, 1993, and 1995, and a candidate for mayor in an election held in 1996. She was a successful candidate in the 1991, 1993, and 1996 elections.
- 2. The respondent filed her first campaign treasurer appointment as a candidate for city council on February 21, 1991. She filed no campaign finance reports in 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, or 1995.

- 3. The respondent filed another campaign treasurer appointment as a candidate for city council on February 24, 1995, and elected modified reporting. No campaign finance reports were filed.
- 4. On November 18, 1996, the respondent filed three reports that attempted to cover reporting periods that she had not previously covered. One was marked as the July 15, 1991, semiannual report and covered from February 21, 1991, through November 18, 1996. One was marked as the July 15, 1993, semiannual report and covered from February 25, 1993, through November 18, 1996. One was marked as the July 15, 1995, semiannual report and covered from February 24, 1995, through November 18, 1996. The respondent reported no activity for those periods. All three reports were marked as final reports.

#### IV. Conclusions of Law

The facts described in Section III would support the following findings and conclusions of law:

Allegations relating to the failure to timely file required campaign finance reports before March 6, 1995:

1. Ethics Commission rules prohibit the commission from considering an allegation barred from criminal prosecution by operation of the applicable statute of limitations. Section 12.5(3), Ethics Commission Rules. A person commits an offense that is a Class C misdemeanor if the person knowingly fails to file a campaign finance report on time or fails to include required information in a campaign finance report. Section 254.041, Election Code. The statute of limitations for Class C misdemeanors is two years from the date of the commission of the offense. Article 12.02, Code of Criminal Procedure. The complaint was filed March 31, 1997; any alleged offenses that occurred before March 31, 1995, are therefore not within the commission's sworn complaint jurisdiction.

Allegations relating to the failure to timely file required campaign finance reports on or after March 6, 1995:

- 2. An opposed candidate may elect modified reporting if the candidate does not intend to accept more than \$500 in political contributions or make more than \$500 in political expenditures in connection with an election. Subchapter G, Chapter 254, Election Code.
- 3. The respondent filed a campaign treasurer appointment on February 24, 1995, as a candidate for city council member in the May 9, 1995, city election and elected modified reporting in accordance with Section 254.181, Election Code. The respondent was required to file semiannual reports by July 15, 1995, and January 15, 1996. Section 254.063, Election Code. The respondent failed to timely file these reports. There is credible evidence that the respondent violated Section 254.063, Election Code, by failing to timely file the July 15, 1995, and the January 15, 1996, semiannual campaign finance reports.

Allegations relating to the failure to report political contributions and political expenditures as required by law:

- 4. A person filing campaign finance reports is required to disclose political contributions accepted and political expenditures made during the reporting period, including the itemization of contributions or expenditures exceeding \$50 in the aggregate, and also including the total amounts of contributions and expenditures. Section 254.031, Election Code.
- 5. In November 1996, the respondent filed a report covering the periods that should have been covered by the July 1995 and January 1996 reports. The respondent reported no activity for that period. The respondent states that previous council members "shared their homemade wood signs passed down through the years, as well as their home computers to create the literature we had..... Major purchases of signs and materials just did not take place."

Although the donation of signs constitutes a contribution, it is unclear whether the contribution was accepted during the period covered by the report. Additionally, it is unclear from the respondent's statement whether any expenditures took place.

There is insufficient credible evidence that the respondent failed to report political contributions and expenditures in connection with this report.

## V. Representations and Agreement by the Respondent

By signing this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION and returning it to the commission:

- 1. The respondent neither admits nor denies the facts detailed under Section III and the commission's findings and conclusions of law detailed under Section IV, and consents to the entry of this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION solely for the purpose of resolving and settling this sworn complaint.
- 2. The respondent consents to the entry of this Order before any adversarial evidentiary hearings or argument before the commission, and before any formal adjudication of law or fact by the commission. The respondent waives any right to a hearing before the commission or an administrative law judge appointed by the commission, and further waives any right to a post-hearing procedure established or provided by law.
- 3. The respondent acknowledges that Section 254.063, Election Code, requires a candidate to file semiannual reports by July 15 and January 15 each year. Respondent agrees to fully and strictly comply with this requirement of the law.
- 4. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION, the respondent understands and agrees that the commission will consider the respondent to have committed the violations detailed in Section IV, Paragraph 3, if it is necessary to consider a sanction to be assessed in any future sworn complaint proceedings against the respondent.

## VI. Confidentiality

This ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION describes alleged violations that the commission has determined would be neither technical nor *de minimis*. Accordingly, this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION is not confidential under Section 571.140, Government Code, and may be disclosed by members and staff of the Texas Ethics Commission.

#### VII. Sanction

After considering the seriousness of the violations described under Section IV, including the nature, circumstances, consequences, extent, and gravity of the violation; that no previous violations by this respondent are known to the commission; that the commission has proposed an Order and Agreed Resolution in sworn complaint SC-970310, in which the commission imposes upon this respondent a \$300 civil penalty for the failure to timely file the July 15,1995, and January 15, 1996, semiannual reports, and the failure to report information as required by law; and after considering the sanction deemed necessary to deter future violations, the commission imposes no civil penalty for the violations described under Section IV, Paragraph 3.

#### VIII. Order

The Texas Ethics Commission hereby ORDERS:

- 1. that the portions of this sworn complaint that allege violations under Section IV, Paragraphs 1 and 5, are dismissed:
- 2. that this proposed AGREED RESOLUTION be presented to the respondent;
- 3. that if the respondent consents to the proposed AGREED RESOLUTION, this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION is a final and complete resolution of SC-970313;
- 4. that the respondent may consent to the proposed AGREED RESOLUTION only by signing an original of this document and mailing the signed original to the Texas Ethics Commission, P.O. Box 12070, Austin, Texas 78711, no later than May 8, 1998; and
- 5. that the executive director shall promptly refer SC-970313 to either the commission or to an administrative law judge to conduct hearings on the commission's behalf and to propose findings of fact and conclusions of law to the commission in accordance with law if the respondent does not agree to the resolution of SC-970313 as proposed in this ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION.

AGREED to by the respondent on this day of	, 1998.
Pau	la Boring Stakes, Respondent
EXECUTED ORIGINAL received by the commission of	n: DATE
	Texas Ethics Commission
By:	
	Tom Harrison, Executive Director