# **TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION**

§ §

§

IN THE MATTER OF

**ROBERT W. FRANCIS,** 

RESPONDENT

#### **BEFORE THE**

**TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION** 

§ §

SC-260117

## ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION

#### I. Recitals

The Texas Ethics Commission (the commission) met on July 14, 2006, to consider sworn complaint SC-260117. A quorum of the commission was present. The commission determined that there is credible evidence of a violation of section 254.031(a)(3) of the Election Code and section 20.59 of the Ethics Commission Rules, a law and a rule administered and enforced by the commission. To resolve and settle this complaint without further proceedings, the commission proposes this resolution to the respondent.

#### **II.** Allegations

The complaint alleges that the respondent improperly reported political expenditures on a January 2006 semiannual campaign finance report.

#### **III.** Facts Supported by Credible Evidence

Credible evidence available to the commission supports the following findings of fact:

- 1. The respondent was a candidate for the Court of Criminal Appeals.
- 2. On the respondent's January 2006 semiannual report the respondent listed seven expenditures and disclosed the payee as "American Express" and the purpose as "Campaign expenses."
- 3. After the complaint was filed, the respondent corrected the report.

#### **IV. Findings and Conclusions of Law**

The facts described in Section III support the following findings and conclusions of law:

- 1. Each campaign finance report filed by a candidate is required to include the full name and address of the payee, date and purpose of the payment for each political expenditure exceeding \$50 aggregate in the reporting period. ELEC. CODE § 254.031(a)(3).
- 2. A report of a political expenditure by credit card must identify the vendor who receives payment from the card company. Ethics Commission Rules § 20.59.
- 3. The respondent did not disclose the actual payee of the political expenditure; but instead disclosed the name of the credit card company.
- 4. Therefore, there is credible evidence of a violation of section 254.031(a)(3) of the Election Code and section 20.59 of the Ethics Commission Rules.

#### V. Representations and Agreement by Respondent

By signing this order and agreed resolution and returning it to the commission:

- 1. The respondent neither admits nor denies the facts described under Section III or the commission's findings and conclusions of law described under Section IV, and consents to the entry of this order and agreed resolution solely for the purpose of resolving this sworn complaint.
- 2. The respondent consents to this order and agreed resolution and waives any right to further proceedings in this matter.
- 3. The respondent acknowledges that a report of a political expenditure by credit card must identify the vendor who receives payment from the card company. The respondent agrees to comply with this requirement of the law.

#### VI. Confidentiality

This order and agreed resolution describes a violation that the commission has determined is neither technical nor *de minimis*. Accordingly, this order and agreed resolution is not confidential under section 571.140 of the Government Code and may be disclosed by members and staff of the commission.

#### VII. Sanction

After considering the seriousness of the violation described under Sections III and IV, including the nature, circumstances, and consequences of the violation, and after considering the sanction necessary to deter future violations, the commission imposes a \$700 civil penalty for the violation described under Sections III and IV.

### VIII. Order

The commission hereby orders that if the respondent consents to the proposed resolution, this order and agreed resolution is a final and complete resolution of SC-260117.

AGREED to by the respondent on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_.

Robert W. Francis, Respondent

EXECUTED ORIGINAL received by the commission on: \_\_\_\_\_.

Texas Ethics Commission

By:

David A. Reisman, Executive Director