TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION

§

§ §

§

§

IN THE MATTER OF

WILLIAM G. BAUMBACH,

RESPONDENT

BEFORE THE

TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION

SC-2610214

ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION

I. Recitals

The Texas Ethics Commission (the commission) met on February 2, 2007, to consider sworn complaint SC-2610214. A quorum of the commission was present. The commission determined that there is credible evidence of a violation of section 254.031(a)(3) of the Election Code, a law administered and enforced by the commission. To resolve and settle this complaint without further proceedings, the commission proposes this resolution to the respondent.

II. Allegation

The complaint alleges that the respondent failed to properly disclose the purpose for three political expenditures.

III. Facts Supported by Credible Evidence

Credible evidence available to the commission supports the following findings of fact:

- 1. The respondent was a candidate for county commissioner in the November 7, 2006, general election.
- 2. The complaint alleges that on the respondent's 30-day pre-election report the respondent failed to list the purpose of three political expenditures totaling \$2,185.36.
- 3. The respondent's 30-day pre-election report does not include a "purpose" for these three political expenditures.
- 4. The respondent's sworn statement admits that he inadvertently failed to provide the purposes of the expenditures. The respondent submitted a copy of the corrected report he filed in response to the complaint to correct these errors.

IV. Findings and Conclusions of Law

The facts described in Section III support the following findings and conclusions of law:

- 1. Each campaign finance report filed by a candidate is required to include the amount of political expenditures that in the aggregate exceed \$50 and that are made during the reporting period, the full name and address of the person to whom the expenditures are made, and the dates and purposes of the expenditures. ELEC. CODE § 254.031(a)(3).
- 2. From the face of the original report and the correction submitted by the respondent, it is clear that the respondent failed to disclose the purpose of the three political expenditures totaling \$2,185.36. Therefore, there is credible evidence of a violation of section 254.031(a)(3) of the Election Code.

V. Representations and Agreement by Respondent

By signing this order and agreed resolution and returning it to the commission:

- 1. The respondent neither admits nor denies the facts described under Section III or the commission's findings and conclusions of law described under Section IV, and consents to the entry of this order and agreed resolution solely for the purpose of resolving this sworn complaint.
- 2. The respondent consents to this order and agreed resolution and waives any right to further proceedings in this matter.
- 3. The respondent acknowledges that each campaign finance report filed by a candidate is required to include the amount of political expenditures that in the aggregate exceed \$50 and that are made during the reporting period, the full name and address of the person to whom the expenditures are made, and the dates and purposes of the expenditures. The respondent agrees to comply with this requirement of the law.

VI. Confidentiality

This order and agreed resolution describes a violation that the commission has determined is neither technical nor *de minimis*. Accordingly, this order and agreed resolution is not confidential under section 571.140 of the Government Code and may be disclosed by members and staff of the commission.

VII. Sanction

After considering the seriousness of the violation described under Sections III and IV, including the nature, circumstances, and consequences of the violation, and after considering the sanction necessary to deter future violations, the commission imposes a \$100 civil penalty for the violation described under Sections III and IV.

VIII. Order

The commission hereby orders that if the respondent consents to the proposed resolution, this order and agreed resolution is a final and complete resolution of SC-2610214.

AGREED to by the respondent on this _____ day of _____, 20___.

William G. Baumbach, Respondent

EXECUTED ORIGINAL received by the commission on: ______.

Texas Ethics Commission

By:

David A. Reisman, Executive Director