TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF	§	BEFORE THE
	§	
BOB HEBERT,	§	TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION
	§	
RESPONDENT	§	SC-270118

ORDER and AGREED RESOLUTION

I. Recitals

The Texas Ethics Commission (the commission) met on April 13, 2007, to consider sworn complaint SC-270118. A quorum of the commission was present. The commission determined that there is credible evidence of violations of section 253.041 of the Election Code, a law administered and enforced by the commission. To resolve and settle this complaint without further proceedings, the commission proposes this resolution to the respondent.

II. Allegation

The complaint alleges that the respondent used political contributions to pay his wife for personal services that she provided to the respondent's campaign in violation of section 253.041 of the Election Code.

III. Facts Supported by Credible Evidence

Credible evidence available to the commission supports the following findings of fact:

- 1. The respondent is a county judge.
- 2. On his January 2007 semiannual report the respondent discloses two expenditures paid to his wife, Pat Hebert:
 - a. The first entry, dated 8/13/2006, lists \$5,000 paid for "bookkeeping services for campaign 12/18/2001-3/12/2005."
 - b. The second entry, dated 11/07/2006, lists \$1,200 paid for "bookkeeping services for the 2006 campaign."
- 3. The respondent filed corrected reports and reimbursed his campaign prior to the filing of the complaint.

4. The evidence shows that the respondent was aware that the complainant was making an issue of the payments before the respondent repaid the money and filed the corrected report.

IV. Findings and Conclusions of Law

The facts described in Section III support the following findings and conclusions of law:

- 1. A candidate or officeholder may not knowingly make or authorize a payment from a political contribution if the payment is made for personal services rendered by the spouse of the candidate or officeholder to the candidate or officeholder. ELEC. CODE § 253.041.
- 2. Ethics Advisory Opinion No. 434 (2001) reiterates that a candidate may not use political contributions to pay a spouse or dependent child for campaign work.
- 3. The respondent's January 2007 semiannual report discloses that the respondent used political contributions to pay his wife for bookkeeping services she provided to the respondent's campaign. The respondent acknowledges that he made the payments. Therefore, there is credible evidence that the respondent violated section 253.041 of the Election Code.

V. Representations and Agreement by Respondent

By signing this order and agreed resolution and returning it to the commission:

- 1. The respondent neither admits nor denies the facts described under Section III or the commission's findings and conclusions of law described under Section IV, and consents to the entry of this order and agreed resolution solely for the purpose of resolving this sworn complaint.
- 2. The respondent consents to this order and agreed resolution and waives any right to further proceedings in this matter.
- 3. The respondent acknowledges that a candidate or officeholder may not knowingly make or authorize a payment from a political contribution if the payment is for personal services rendered by the spouse of the candidate or officeholder. The respondent agrees to comply with this requirement of the law.

VI. Confidentiality

This order and agreed resolution describes violations that the commission has determined is neither technical nor *de minimis*. Accordingly, this order and agreed resolution is not confidential under section 571.140 of the Government Code and may be disclosed by members and staff of the commission.

VII. Sanction

After considering the seriousness of the violations described under Sections III and IV, including the nature, circumstances, and consequences of the violations, and after considering the sanction necessary to deter future violations, the commission imposes a \$100 civil penalty.

VIII. Order

The commission	hereby orders t	hat if the respon	dent consents to	the proposed	l resolution	, this order
and agreed resolu	ition is a final	and complete re	solution of SC-2	70118.		

AGREED to by the respondent on this da	ay of, 20
	Bob Hebert, Respondent
EXECUTED ORIGINAL received by the commiss	sion on:
	Texas Ethics Commission
By:	David A. Reisman, Executive Director